

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | FILING | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|----------|------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/683,947 | ' | | Michael Alan Meek | 11633.00078 | 3886 |
| 22908 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| BANNER & TEN SOUTH | | | YIP, WINNIE S | | |
| SUITE 3000 | WACKERD | KIVL | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CHICAGO, | IL 60606 | | 3636 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 7 | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Commence | | 10/683,947 | MEEK ET AL. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Winnie Yip | 3636 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | . the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | • | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | |
| 9) <u></u> 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage |
| 2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | |

Application/Control Number: 10/683,947

Art Unit: 3636

Part II DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on July 25, 2005.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 8-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herren et al. (US Patent (No. 5,189,857) in view of Knudson (US Patent No. 5,860,213).

Herren et al. '857 shows and teaches a frame system comprising: a plurality of studs (30) each having a longitudinally extending body (32) and opposing first flanges (40, 42) extending outwardly from the body at approximately an angle of 90 degrees, and opposing foldable end flaps (44, 50) with connecting holes formed thereon, a pair of tracks (12, 14) mounted to the plurality of studs, each track having a longitudinally extending track body (24) and opposing track flanges (18, 20) extending outwardly from the track body at an angle approximately 90 degrees, the track body having fastening holes at the respective mounting locations of each of the studs, each stud being mounted between the pair of tracks by fasteners through the holes of the track bodies and the end flaps of the stud. Herren does not define the studs having opposing second flanges extending outwardly from the opposing first flanges at an approximately 90 degrees as claimed. However, Knudson teaches the frame system comprising C-shaped tracks (12, 13) and studs (14) mounted therebetween, wherein the stud (14) having opposing first flanges (22) extending from a body (14), and second flanges (24) extending from the first flanges at an angle approximately 90 degrees for increasing the rigidity of the flanges of the stud. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to

modify the frame system of Herren having the studs being formed with an additional second opposing flanges formed on the first flanges as taught by Knudson, as old and well known in the art, for increasing rigidity and tensile strength of the stud to as claimed.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 8-9 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herren et al. (US Patent (No. 5,189,857) in view of Atwater (US Patent No. 3,664,513) and further in view of Knudson (US Patent No. 5,860,213).

Herren et al. '857 show and teach a frame system comprising: a plurality of studs (30) each having a longitudinally extending body (32) and opposing first flanges (40, 42) extending outwardly from the body at approximately an angle of 90 degrees, and opposing foldable end flaps (44, 50) with mounting holes formed thereon, a pair of tracks (12, 14) mounted to the plurality of studs, each track having a longitudinally extending track body (24) and opposing track flanges (18, 20) extending outwardly from the track body at an angle approximately 90 degrees, the track body having mounting holes (no number, see Fig. 1), each stud being mounted between the pair of tracks by fasteners (58) through the holes of the track bodies and the end flaps of the stud. Although Herren et al. do not specifically define the tracks having the mounting holes being preformed along the track body at the respective mounting locations of each of the studs as claimed, Atwater teaches, as known in art, a framing system comprising a plurality of U-shaped tracks (20) each having a plurality of fastening holes (38) being preformed along the track body at the predetermined respective mounting locations such that a plurality of studs (26) being mounted between a pair of the tracks at the predetermined locations by fasteners. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify the framing system of Herren to have the tracks having holes being preformed along the track body as taught by Atwater for more easily mounting the studs in between the tracks in the predetermined locations without measurements during the construction. Further, Herren et al. do not define the studs having opposing second flanges extending outwardly from the opposing first flanges at an approximately 90 degrees as claimed. However, Knudson teaches the frame system comprising C-shaped tracks (12, 13) and studs (14) mounted therebetween, wherein the stud (14) having opposing first flanges (22) extending from a body (14), and second flanges (24) extending from the first flanges at an angle approximately 90 degrees for increasing the rigidity of the flanges of the stud. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the frame system of Herren having the studs being formed with an additional second opposing flanges formed on the first flanges as taught by Knudson, as old and well known in the art, for increasing rigidity and tensile strength of the stud to as claimed.

3. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herren et al. (US Patent (No. 5,189,857) in view of Atwater (US Patent No. 3,664,513) and Knudson (US Patent No. 5,860,213) as applied to claims 1 or 5 above, and further in view of Baltimorean (US Patent No. 5,411,812).

The claims are considered to be met by the combined references as explained and applied set forth above rejections except that either Herren et al. or Atwater or Knudson does not define the tracks and studs being made of specific material of a carbon steel being coated with a galvanized zinc layer as claimed. Bilimoria teaches a steel beam/strip could be made of carbon

steel being galvanized with a zinc coating as claimed. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the frame system of Herren et al. combined with Atwater and Knudson having the tracts and the studs being made of specific metal such as a carbon steel with a galvanized zinc coating as taught by Bilimoria for taking advantage of hight tensile strength of the structure for particular advantage since they are easily formed according to technology which is known per se into complex and intricate shapes and configurations.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 under U.S.C. 102/103, and specifically to the feature for the track body having mounting holes at respective mounting location of studs has been considered. This feature was not specifically and previously claimed in claims and thus a new ground of rejection is provided.

In response to applicant's argument that the cited references do not having feature of "the body of each track also includes (at least one) mounting hole(s) at respective mounting locations of each of the plurality of studs" as amended in claims 1 and 5 now, Herren shows the track body (24) having mounting holes to allow the fasteners (58) passed therethrough. Wherein the mounting holes, as known in the art, are capably preformed to allow easily determine the locations the studs to be mounted during the construction. In addition, Atwater further recited for teaching such a known method of performing holes along components for receiving fasteners in a framework art.

Therefore, the rejection is deemed proper.

ACTION IS FINAL

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. '706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. '1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. '1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Inquiry Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Winnie Yip whose telephone number is 571-272-6870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,947

Art Unit: 3636

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Winnie Y

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

wsy

October 14, 2005

